Future Light **Sources** Workshop August 29 Luzern

Recent Developments of the Toolkit for Simulated Commissioning

Thorsten Hellert

LLCL March

Delumination

• Introduction

- Historical Context of Commissioning Simulations
- Simulated Commissioning Toolkit Design Features
	- Workflow
	- Error Model
	- Examples
- Recent Developments
	- SC to elegant corrected lattice converter
	- pySC
	- Automated startup scripts using MML

Outline

Error Analysis in Storage Ring Design - Past and Present

- Generate Error Ensembles
	- Gradient errors, misalignments, girders, etc.

• Evaluate Lattice Performance

– Beta beat, orbit error

– Limit error amplitudes to provide acceptable performance

Construction of the DESY Synchrotron, 1961

Error Analysis in Storage Ring Design - Past and Present

- Generate Error Ensembles
	- Gradient errors, misalignments, girders, etc.
- Correct Lattice
	- Closed orbit correction
- Evaluate Lattice Performance
	- Beta beat, orbit error
- Statistical Evaluation
	- Calculate statistics of lattice performance
	- Limit error amplitudes to provide acceptable performance

Error Analysis in Storage Ring Design - Past and Present

Figure 3.2.18: Calculated rms closed orbit distortion and spurious dispersion produced by 200 sets of random errors (after correction). PETRA-III TDR (*2004*)

- Generate Error Ensembles
	- Gradient errors, misalignments, girders, etc.
- Correct Lattice
	- Closed orbit correction
	- Linear optics correction
- Evaluate Lattice Performance
	- Beta beat, orbit error
- Statistical Evaluation
	- Calculate statistics of lattice performance
	- Limit error amplitudes to provide acceptable performance

Figure 3.2.15: The horizontal and vertical rms COD produced by 200 sets of random errors before correction.

(Magnet misalignments = 250µm)

Error Analysis in Storage Ring Design - Past and Present

Figure 2: Vertical emittance (m) for machine misalignment from 30 to $300 \mu m$ H and V for Sext and Quad and qudrupole Tilts of 30-300 μrad . Orbit (O), Dispersion (D) and Coupling and Beta-beating (C) Free Steering are compared S. Liuzzo et al., TUPEB007 (IPAC *2010*)

- Generate Error Ensembles
	- Gradient errors, misalignments, girders, etc.
- Correct Lattice
	- Closed orbit correction
	- Linear optics correction
- Evaluate Lattice Performance
	- Beta beat, orbit error
	- Injection efficiency, dynamic aperture, lifetime
- Statistical Evaluation
	- Calculate statistics of lattice performance
	- Limit error amplitudes to provide acceptable performance

Dispersion-, Coupling- and Beta-Beat-Free Steering for SuperB

(Magnet misalignments < 300µm)

Error Analysis in Storage Ring Design - Past and Present

- Generate Error Ensembles
	- Gradient errors, misalignments, girders, etc.
- Correct Lattice
	- Closed orbit correction => *no closed orbit!*
	- Linear optics correction
- Evaluate Lattice Performance
	- Beta beat, orbit error
	- Injection efficiency, dynamic aperture, lifetime
- Statistical Evaluation
	- Calculate statistics of lattice performance
	- Limit error amplitudes to provide acceptable performance

*) V. Sajaev, PRAB 22,040102

Error Analysis in Storage Ring Design - Past and Present

- Generate Error Ensembles
	- Gradient errors, misalignments, girders, etc.
- Correct Lattice
	- Closed orbit correction => *no closed orbit!*
	- Linear optics correction
- Evaluate Lattice Performance
	- Beta beat, orbit error
	- Injection efficiency, dynamic aperture, lifetime
- Statistical Evaluation
	- Calculate statistics of lattice performance
	- Limit error amplitudes to provide acceptable performance

Error Analysis in Storage Ring Design - Past and Present

- Generate Error Ensembles
	- Gradient errors, misalignments, girders, etc.
- Correct Lattice
	- Start to finish commissioning simulation as realistic as possible*
- Evaluate Lattice Performance
	- Beta beat, orbit error
	- $-$ Injection efficiency, dynamic aperture, life
- Statistical Evaluation
	- Calculate statistics of lattice performance
	- Limit error amplitudes to provide acceptable performance

- *) V. Sajaev et al., MOPMA010 (2015)
- *) S. Liuzzo et al., WEPIK061 (2017)
- *) T. Hellert et al., THPMF078 (2018)

Simulated Commissioning Toolkit Design Features

Power supplies

Setpoints and read back values

Operating machine High level controls

Limited Accessibility of Machine Properties

‣ Diagnostic errors **‣** Injected beam trajectory **Injection pattern**

Auxiliary structures

-
-

Set Quad to setpoint

- **‣** Compensates bending angle difference by setting horizontal CM
- **‣** Checks for CM range (clipping)

Calculate fields

- **‣** Calibration errors of all components
- **‣** Includes dipole kick from bending angle (set-point & roll)

Get BPM reading

- **‣** Performs tracking including aperture
- **Gets BPM signal** from ensemble of particle trajectories

High level

- **‣**High level functions use only BPM and setpoints as input
- **‣** High level functions write only setpoints

Realistic Workflow of Toolkit Important

Large Number of Error Sources Included

• Diagnostic Errors

- BPM offset
- BPM cal. error
- BPM noise (TbT/CO)
- BPM roll
- BPM sum signal
- CM cal. error
- CM roll
- CM / skew-quad limits

• Support Structure

- Rafts, Plinths, Sections
- 3D Roll & Offsets
- Circumference
- Higher Order Multipoles
	- Systematic for arbitrary coil excitations
	- Random

- 3D Offset
- 3D Roll
- Strength
- Calibration

RF Errors

- Phase
- Frequency
- Voltage
- **Injection**
	- Static
	- Jitter

Large Number of Error Sources Included

• Diagnostic Errors

- BPM offset
- BPM cal. error
- BPM noise (TbT/CO)
- BPM roll
- BPM sum signal
- CM cal. error
- CM roll
- CM / skew-quad limits

• Support Structure

- Rafts, Plinths, Sections
- 3D Roll & Offsets
- Circumference
- Higher Order Multipoles
	- Systematic for arbitrary coil excitations
	- Random

Magnets

- 3D Offset
- 3D Roll
- Strength
- Calibration

• RF Errors

- Phase
- Frequency
- Voltage
- **Injection**
	- Static
	- Jitter

Tunnel Cracks for PETRA-IV

Large Number of Error Sources Included

• Diagnostic Errors

- BPM offset
- BPM cal. error
- BPM noise (TbT/CO)
- BPM roll
- BPM sum signal
- CM cal. error
- CM roll
- CM / skew-quad limits
- 3D Offset
- 3D Roll
- Strength
- **Calibration**

RF Errors

• Support Structure

- Rafts, Plinths, Sections
- 3D Roll & Offsets
- Circumference
- Higher Order Multipoles
	- Systematic for arbitrary coil excitations
	- Random

Magnets

- Phase
- **Frequency**
- Voltage
- **Injection**
	- **Static**
	- Jitter

Tunnel Cracks for PETRA-IV

-20

 Ω

180

160

Corrector Strength BPM roll error $\Theta_{rms}=47 \mu \text{rad}$ $\overline{}$ Dist: δ_{rms} = 358.4urad - Corr: δ_{rms} = 358.4urs 100 120 140 160 180 50 ${\rm Index~of~BPM}$ $\sin \left[\mathrm{m}\right]$ BPM roll erro $-\overline{\mathrm{Dist}}$: δ_{rms} = 358.4urad -Horizontal - Corr: δ_{rms} = 358.4ur - Vertical \overleftrightarrow{C} 0.5

100

 CM strengh [μ rad]

120

140

Various Visualization Tools for Easy R&D

Misalignments

LOCO Status

 $\begin{array}{r}\n\text{Dist: } \delta_{rms} = 4.6\% \\
\text{Corr: } \delta_{rms} = 1.6\% \n\end{array}$

Index of CM

CM calibration er

Dist: $\delta_{rms}\!\!=$ 4.1%

- Corr: $\delta_{rms}=0.8\%$

BPM calibration erro

 $\frac{\text{m}}{\text{m}} = 4.5\%$
- Corr: $\delta_{rms} = 1.6\%$

Index of BPM

BPM calibration

Dist: δ_{rms} = 4.8%

Corr: $\delta_{rms} = 0.7$

 ${\rm Index\ of\ BPM}$

Various Visualization Tools for Easy R&D

Lattice and Element Registration in Toolkit Trajectories/Orbit and BPM Readings

Easy Accessibility For New Users: Manual & Example Scripts

Toolkit Webpage

Toolkit for Simulated Commission ² master ► SC / applications / ALSU_SR We present the Toolkit for Simulated Commissioning (SC), which allows ThorstenHellert Custom ID pass method for ru as diligently treating beam diagnostic limitations. Please have a look at the Accumulator Ring including all files and error defenitions can be found SC uses the Matlab-based Accelerator Toolbox (AT), which can be downld **DLibrary Multipoles Manual** Studies This is the manual. **Number** lattices calcLatticeProperties_ALSU_SR.m **Source** n crawlClusterJob.m git repository □ getBPM2QuadPairing_ALSU_SR.m **Full ALS-U Accumulator Ring example** D locoTH.m **Full ALS-U Storage Ring example** | locoresponsematrixFull.m

Git Repository **Annotated Scripts**

% Define apertures

% Initialize toolkit $:$ (RING); ALSU-SR , CMords] = register_ALS L SC state for ID compe $refID = SC:$ and CM ords used in orb **Mords = BPMords;** ords = CMords; SC.RING = setApertures_ALSU_SR(SC.

Table of Contents Introduction Initialization Error Source Definition & Registration Generation of a Machine Realization Interaction with the Machine **Error Sources BPMs** Cavities Magnets Injected beam Support and Alignment SC Usage Example - FODO Lattice Setup enviroment Define lattice file Initialize toolbox Register lattice in SC Define lattice apertures Check registration Apply errors Setup correction chain Start correction chair Perform LOCO based linear optics correction **Function Categories** Initialization Tracking **Error Mode** Visualization **Correction Scripts Lattice Properties Lattice Manipulation Function List** SCapplyErrors SCcalcLatticeProperties SCcronoff SCdynamicAperture SCfeedbackBalance SCfeedbackFirstTurr SCfeedbackRun SCfeedbackStitch SCfitInjectionZ SCgenBunches SCgetBPMreading SCgetBeamTransmission SCgetCMSetPoints SCgetDispersion SCgetModelDispersion SCgetModelRING SCgetModelRM SCgetOrds

Online Manual

SC Manual

T. Hellert - thellert@lbl.gov

Please check the release notes for code changes.

Introduction

Realistic simulations of the operation of a complex machine like an accelerator not only require a good model of the beam dynamics, but also have to acknowledge the fact that only incomplete information about the actual machine state is available during operation, due to the many unknowns in the machine geometry, the magnetic fields and the beam-diagnostic systems. The SC toolbox addresses this issue by making clear distinctions between machine parameters that are accessible during operation and the parameters that go into the beam dynamics simulation of the machine, e.g. by implementing a transfer-function, relating magnet setpoints to the actually realized magnetic fields.

Typical usage of the SC toolbox follows the steps

- Initialization of the SC core structure
- Error source definition & registration
- Generation of a machine realization including errors
- Interaction with the machine

which are described in the following. Thereafter we describe the definition of error sources, followed by a usage example for a complete correction chain and a list of all implemented functions.

Initialization

In a first step, the user initializes the toolbox by calling SCinit with the AT lattice of his or her machine as input. This sets up a matlab-structure, usually assigned the variable name SC, with which nearly all subsequent functions of the toolbox interact. Within this central structure all relevant information about the machine and the error sources is stored.

Error Source Definition & Registration

In the next step, the user registers elements like magnets, BPMs or cavities including all error sources they would like

• Detailed Description of Code and Example Workflows:

- Comprehensive toolkit manual
- Each function given with examples
- Full annotated correction chain for both ALS-U Accumulator and Storage Ring online

Toolkit Used in Design Process at Various Laboratories

NSLS-II (*A. Khan*)

 $\overline{\Theta}$ 40

Elettra 2.0 (*S. Dastan*)

https://sc.lbl.gov T. Hellert | Recent Developments of the SC Toolkit | FLS Workshop | 29.08.23 | Lucern | 18

PETRA IV (*T. Hellert*)

BESSY III (*B. Kuske*) • Elettra 2.0 (*S. Dastan*) • Korean 4GSR (*J. Kim*) • SOLEIL U (*O. Garcia*)

Figure 1: The beam transmission rates versus revolution turns at different stages.

Beta Functions and Dispersion $-Hor. Beta - F. Seta$ — Ver. Beta — Hor. Disp

HBSRS (*S. Prakash*)

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$

SC -> ELEGANT Corrected Lattice Converter

SC -> ELEGANT Corrected Lattice Converter

G. Penn et al., MOPAB119, IPAC'21

• AT/elegant

- SC allows for easy error model- and correction chain setup
- Elegant allows for more advanced tracking studies than AT
- Corrected Lattice Converter
	- Set up errors and correction chain with SC
	- Convert final lattice to elegant
	- Perform e.g. collective effects studies
	- Preliminary converter available on SC webpage

12th Int. Particle Acc. Conf. **JACoW Publishing** IPAC2021, Campinas, SP, Brazil □ ISBN: 978-3-95450-214-1 ISSN: 2673-5490 doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2021-MOPAB119 **COMPARISONS BETWEEN AT AND ELEGANT TRACKING*** G. Penn[†], T. Hellert, M. Venturini Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA Abstract Recently, there has been work by developers of elegant and SC to implement consistent models for misalignments based The simulation codes elegant [1] and Accelerator Toolbox on concepts from [4], which has facilitated the translation (AT) [2] are both in common use for the study of particle tool. This work also relies on previous comparisons, for accelerators and light sources. They use different software example [5], which includes work by X. Huang to implement platforms and have different capabilities, so there is a strong tracking in AT that is more accurate and similar to that of motivation to be able to switch from one version to another elegant. However, this code is not yet in the standard AT to achieve different goals. In addition, it is useful to directly repository and is not included in the results shown below. compare results for benchmarking studies. We discuss differences in tracking methods and results for various elements, **TRACKING COMPARISONS** and explore the impact on simulations performed with lat-

pySC - A Python Implementation of the SC Toolkit

*) L. Malina et al: "Python library for simulated commissioning of storage-ring accelerators" to be presented at ICALEPS 2023

• Choice of Matlab Implementation of SC

- ALS(-U) is/will be operated with *Matlab Middle Layer* (MML)
- Matlab based implementation of ALS-U commissioning allows for straight forward experiments at ALS
- However: cost of Matlab licenses often prevents full utilization of HPC clusters for parallelization of commissioning simulation
- Python Allows for more Advanced Code Development
	- Object based implementation of the SC toolkit
	- Unit tests integration in source control
	- Maximum parallelization possible
	- Open source accessibility for all laboratories
- pySC Development Status
	- Code translation underway since March'23 in DESY/ESRF/LBNL cooperation
	- First major overhaul of the SC toolkit since its publication
	- Significant improvements compared to original implementation
	- Expected publication of pySC at ICALEPS'23 by Lukas Melina*

Advertisement: AT workshop at ESRF in October 2023

Accelerator Toolbox Workshop $Oct 2 - 3, 2023$ Enter your search term **ESRF** Europe/Paris timezone Overview The objective of the Accelerator Toolbox workshop is to bring together international scientists to exchange ideas and discuss best practices about use of the accelerator toolbox code (matlab or Timetable python) for beam dynamics in charged particle accelerators. **Contribution List** The workshop programme consists of plenary talks and poster presentations. Registration The agenda will include (but is not limited to) presentations of: Practical information - recent upgrades of the software - experience of use for simulations: commissioning, DA, Injection efficiency and lifetime, impact of ID **Participant List** gaps on optics, losses & collimation, injection, optics design, optics matching, etc... - experience of use of AT in control room: MML, Pytac, digital twins, etc.. use of AT for collective effects studies - discussion on code status, maintenance and priority for future developments \boxtimes at-workshop-loc@esrf.fr Please propose topics for presentation using this survey: AT workshop SURVEY All topics that participants would like to discuss will be addressed either as talks or as poster presentations. An international Scientific Board will support the LOC in the selection and organization of all proposed contributions. Students and non experts are most welcome. A session of training to use matlab/python AT with real life examples will take place if a sufficient number of people show interest. Remote attendance at the w https://indico.esrf.fr/event/93/ **LOC** Simone Liuzzo (ESRF)

Automated Startup and Commissioning Tests at ALS

- Automated startup and commissioning scripts will be essential for ALS-U
	- Lattice too non-linear to achieve stored beam with conventional methods
	- Scheduled commissioning time for AR and SR very short compared to the operational complexities
- SC Toolkit developed for simulated commissioning and error analysis studies
	- Comprehensive automated lattice correction tools to get from first injection to stored beam
	- Workflow mimics machine operation from the control room

Automated Startup and Commissioning Tests at ALS

- Automated startup and commissioning scripts will be essential for ALS-U
	- Lattice too non-linear to achieve stored beam with conventional methods
	- Scheduled commissioning time for AR and SR very short compared to the operational complexities
- SC Toolkit developed for simulated commissioning and error analysis studies
	- Comprehensive automated lattice correction tools to get from first injection to stored beam
	- Workflow mimics machine operation from the control room
- Integrating SC Toolkit into the control system
	- ALS and ALS-U operated with MML, toolkit written in Matlab
	- ALS lattice very similar to ALS-U AR lattice

Automated Startup and Commissioning Tests at ALS

- Automated startup and commissioning scripts will be essential for ALS-U
	- Lattice too non-linear to achieve stored beam with conventional methods
	- Scheduled commissioning time for AR and SR very short compared to the operational complexities
- SC Toolkit developed for simulated commissioning and error analysis studies
	- Comprehensive automated lattice correction tools to get from first injection to stored beam
	- Workflow mimics machine operation from the control room
- Integrating SC Toolkit into the control system
	- ALS and ALS-U operated with MML, toolkit written in Matlab
	- ALS lattice very similar to ALS-U AR lattice
- Experimental commissioning tests and code development at ALS in progress
	- First turn threading
	- Multi-turn trajectory/orbit control
	- Single turn beam based alignment

Summary

- Commissioning Simulations are Essential for the Design of Future Storage Ring Light Sources
	- Challenging lattice of future light sources
	- Tolerances studies must include commissioning process
	- Simulation must reflect reasonable information flow
- Development of Commissioning Simulation Toolkit
	- High fidelity error model
	- Realistic workflow
	- Comprehensive documentation
	- Wide range of application successfully demonstrated at multiple machines
- Several Code Developments Underway
	- SC to elegant corrected lattice converter
	- Python implementation (pySC)
	- Automated startup and commissioning scripts by integrating the SC toolkit into the MML control system

T. Hellert | Recent Developments of the SC Toolkit | FLS Workshop | 29.08.23 | Lucern | 24

References

- S. Liuzzo et al: "Lattice Tuning and Error Setting in Accelerator Toolbox", in Proc. IPAC'17, Copenhagen (2017) https://doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2017-WEPIK061
- V. Sajaev: "Commissioning simulations for the Argonne Advanced Photon Source upgrade lattice", PRAB 22.040102 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.22.040102
- T. Hellert et al: "Lattice correction and commissioning simulation of the Advanced Light Source upgrade storage ring" PRAB 25.110701 (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevaccelbeams.25.110701>
- T. Hellert et al: "Toolkit for simulated commissioning of storage-ring light sources and application to the advanced light source upgrade accumulator", PRAB 22.100702 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.22.100702
- Z. Martí et al: "ALBA-II first tolerance studies", in Proc. IPAC'23, Venezia (2023) https://doi.org/10.18429/jacow-ipac2023-wepl003
- O. Blanco-García et al: "Status of the SOLEIL II robustness studies", in Proc. IPAC'23, Venezia (2023) https://doi.org/10.18429/jacow-ipac2023-wepl070
- H. Chao et al: "Update of Diamond-II storage ring error specifications and commissioning simulations", in Proc. IPAC'23, Venezia (2023) https://doi.org/10.18429/jacow-ipac2023-mopa158
- T. Hellert et al: "Error Analysis and Commissioning Simulation for the PETRA-IV Storage Ring" in Proc. IPAC'22, Bangkok (2022) https://doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2022-TUPOMS018
- G. Penn et al: "Comparisons Between AT and Elegant Tracking", in Proc. IPAC'21, Campinas (2021), <https://doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2021-MOPAB119>
- M. Venturini et al: '"Particle dynamics and misaligned lattice elements in accelerators", NIM-A 1044:167487 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2022.167487>
- L. Malina et al: "Python library for simulated commissioning of storage-ring accelerators", to be presented at ICALEPS 2023
- Accelerator Toolbox Workshop, 2-3 October 2023, ESRF, https://indico.esrf.fr/event/93/

T. Hellert | Recent Developments of the SC Toolkit | FLS Workshop | 29.08.23 | Lucern | 25

