



# Nonlinear optics from hybrid dispersive orbits

Yongjun Li NSLS-II

67<sup>th</sup> ICFA workshop on Future light sources. Lucerne 8/27-9/1, 2023



# Outline

- Motivation: optics correction order-by-order
- Review existing methods and expansion to harmonic sextupoles
- Simulations and preliminary beam studies
- Summary



#### **Motivations**

- After orbit and linear optics correction, measured dynamic aperture is smaller than simulation
- Nonlinear optics are not corrected, relying on online optimization
- Sext settings are based on on-bench PS calibration
- Not ready for sext correction? in-series PS scheme



# **Order-by-order optics corrections**

0<sup>th</sup> order: closed orbit correction using dipole kicks

1<sup>st</sup> order: beta-beat (phase advance) correction using quads

2<sup>nd</sup> order: chromatic function correction using sexts

3<sup>rd</sup> order: correction using octupoles ?



# **Existing methods**

- Correction of multiple nonlinear resonances in storage rings, R. Bartolini, et al., PRST-AB, 2008
- First simultaneous measurement of sextupolar and octupolar resonance driving terms in a circular accelerator from turn-by-turn beam position monitor data, A Franchi, et al., PRST-AB, 2014
- Nonlinear optics from off-energy closed orbits, D. Olsson et al., PRAB, 2020

$$\frac{\Delta\beta(z)}{\beta_0} = \frac{\delta}{2\sin 2\pi\nu_0} \int_z^{z+L} \beta(k-m\eta) \cos[2\nu_0(\varphi_z-\varphi_\zeta+2\pi)] d\zeta,$$



*m*: sext's strength,  $\eta$ : dispersion

# How about harmonic sextupoles (HS)?

• Some 4<sup>th</sup> generation light source rings (ALS-U) and colliders (EIC) have HS





# **Possible solutions**

Creating horizontal dispersion or local bump for HS
Pros: easy to understand
Cons: sophisticated implementation, time-consuming

Measuring with hybrid dispersive orbits (this talk)
Pros: easy to implement, fast
Cons: some requirements on hardware



# Hybrid dispersive optics using skew quads



~3% of normal quad

Choosing SQM's 
$$K_1 = 0.07 \text{ m}^{-2}$$
  
g = 0.7 T/m

See hardware requirements later: to produce measurable dependency



# Hybrid dispersive orbit (dp=0.5%)



- Measuring optics with different energies
- Computing chromatic function



# Measurable dependency for harm. sext.



N: No, without vertical offset Y: Yes, with vertical offset

SM1: Chromatic sext SH3: Harmonic sext

Not too much change for SM1, While SH3 is measurable



#### Full resp. matrix for all harm. sexts





Computed with MAD-X's PTC module

# Simulation 1: two isolated errors



High degeneracy was found among harm. sexts

Although can not exactly reproduce errors, the optics distortion can be corrected well



#### Simulation 2: random errors



Still with high degeneracy, but the optics distortion can be corrected well



#### **Dynamic aperture** for simulation 2



On- and off-momentum DAs before/after correction

- Modest improvement on dynamic apertures
  - Sexts are close, strong degeneracy exists
  - Only first order  $(d\beta/d\delta)$  has been corrected



Degeneracy of two adjacent sexts

# Beam studies: a two-stage calibration

Stage one: calibrate chromatic sexts (similar idea as MAX-IV, but using TbT data) – from horizontal dispersive orbits

Incorporating chromatic sext errors into model and using it reference

Stage two: calibrate harmonic sexts, using the first stage result as reference – from hybrid dispersive orbits



#### **Two-stage online calibration results**



# Validation by flipping v-dispersion

switch all skew quads from  $K_1$  to  $-K_1$ , vertical dispersion will be flipped as well, nonlinear chromatic optics remains same



#### **Measurements**



Similar tendency



#### **Requirements on hardware**

Sexts: Powered independently X

Skew quads: capable to generate desired vertical dispersion X

BPMs: sufficiently accuracy  $\checkmark$ 



# Summary

- Chromatic function on hybrid dispersive orbits for calibrating harmonic sexts
- New facilities with HS might need independently powered sexts and strong skews

