HZB BESSY II Light Source

The BESSY III Lattice

A highly competitive non-standard lattice for a 4th gen. Light Source with Metrology and Timing Capabilities

P. Goslawski for the CDR, accelerator & lattice design team

(M. Arlandoo, **M. Abo-Bakr, B. Kuske, J. Bengtsson, J. Völker**, V. Dürr, A. Jankowiak et al.,)
(K. Holldack, Z. Hüsges, K. Kiefer, A. Meseck, R. Müller, M. Sauerborn, O. Schwarzkopf, J. Viefhaus et al.,)

Combined function or **Homogenous bend**

Overview - The Menu

- What is currently going on…
  - HZB with BESSY II & MLS (PTB)
  - BESSY II+ with focus on operando capabilities, modernization & sustainability

- BESSY III
  - Overview, Goals, Planning, Parameters
  - **Towards a BESSY III design lattice**
    A Metrology Solution,
    an unconventional, but competitive approach

Two partners & two synchrotron radiation sources

HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

BESSY II

1.7 GeV, DBA,
5 nm rad, 300 mA
240 m, 16 Straights, 5 m
since 1998

Soft and tender X-rays
Spectro-Microscopy
Timing: low α, femto-slicing
SB, VSR, TRIBs/2-Orbits

Fernsehturm am Alexanderplatz

From Wikipedia: WISTA
By Fotograf: Dirk Laubner - WISTA.Plan, CC BY-SA 4.0,
https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=94360137

PTB
Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
Braunschweig und Berlin

MLS Metrology Light Source

630 MeV, DBA
100 nm rad, 200 mA
48 m, 4 Straights
since 2007

THz / IR to VUV, EUV
Optimised for low α,
SSMB studies

Talk by A. Chao on Monday 11:30h
Storage ring based steady state microbunching

Solar Energy	Chemical Energy	Quantum & Functional Materials
Photon Science	Accelerators	Scientific Instrumentation & Support

Lithography Optics Division

CARL ZEISS SMT

Acknowledgment

Thanks to a huge team effort at…

- FOM-Rijnhuizen
- TNO TPD
- PTB-BESSY
- IWS Dresden
- Philips
- Heidenhain
- The teams at ASML and Zeiss
- …and many others

Part of this work was supported by:
Bundesministerum für Bildung und Forschung Projekt „Grundlagen der EUV-Lithographie“ 13N8088 and 13N8837, MEDEA Projects „EXTATIC“ and EAGLE“ and European Commission Project 507754 „More Moore“

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

2006 EUVL Symposium — Enabling the Nano-Age World" — Page 18

ZEISS
ASML
HZB BESSY II Light Source

Last years at BESSY II (2014 - 2022)

BESSY VSR - Variable Pulse Storage Ring

- Short (intense) and long bunches simultaneously by a rf beating scheme



- 2015 - ongoing, complexity of sc cavities & sc modules

TRIBs for bunch separation and more

- **T**ransverse **R**esonance **I**sland **B**uckets



- Developed towards User-Operation
  - 3 TRIBs user weeks with TopUp until 2022
  - But then no further development → BIII
- Extended radiation properties
  - MHz helicity flipping of undulator radiation
  - MHz fast two color spectroscopy

Overview - BESSY II+ / III

Towards BESSY III by using BESSY II, BESSY II+

BESSY II+ paves the way to BESSY III

BESSY II+

	BESSY II+ project					Operation								
2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036

Beamline Transfer

BESSY III

CDR			TDR				Project/Construction					Com.	Operation		
2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	...

BESSY II+ application/project: operando capabilities, modernization, and sustainability.

100 M€ (25 % HZB, 25% strategic partners or third-party projects, 50 % request funding bodies) split up in

50 % for 8 new beamlines, endstations & sample environment, → Future BESSY III Science Case

15 % for improving the sustainability of BESSY II, → BESSY III Hardware / Tech.

35 % modernization of the accelerator complex

Active Higher-Harmonic Cavities together with ALBA & DESY – first beam test in BESSY II now !

Talk by F. Cullinan on Monday 12:00h
Storage ring based steady state microbunching

Hybrid-Permanent Magnets
– replace power hungry (30 kW) bending electromagnet in BESSY II transferline
– metrology suitable PM dipole





BESSY III - The triad for a world leading facility for material discovery

① a globally competitive 4th generation synchrotron radiation source

② embedded in the integrated research campus Berlin-Adlershof

③ dedicated to metrology and metrological materials science

Combined function or Homogenous bend

BESSY III Requirements & Objectives



Facility parameters

1. 1st undulator harmonics polarized up to 1 keV from conventional APPLE-II
2. Diffraction limited till 1 keV
3. Stay in Berlin-Adlershof
4. Nanometer spatial res. & phase space matching
5. PTB/BAM metrology applications

Already at BESSY II, a 3rd generation **without** combined function bends

Ring parameters

1. Ring Energy **2.5 GeV** (1.7 GeV)
2. Emittance **100 pm rad** (5 nm rad)
3. Circumference **350 m**
   16 straights @ 5.6 m
   (240 m @ 4 m)
4. Low beta straights & maybe round beams
5. **Metrology source Homogenous bends**
   Measuring he field at the source point with a NMR probe in a volume of 10x10x10 mm
   1-2 bends per arc
6. Momentum compaction factor **> 1.0e-4**

BESSY III

100x times more brightness than BESSY II &
1000x times smaller focus at sample (10µm down to 10nm)

Talk by A. Meseck on Thursday 14-16h
Dev. of the In-vacuum APPLE II Undulators





In situ & operando, sample environment, material labs → **Integrated Research Campus**

BESSY III Lattice Design

A Metrology Solution,
an unconventional, but competitive approach

Poster by Bettina Kuske
Deterministic Approach to Lattice Design of BESSY III

LEGO Approach - Basic building blocks of one sector



UC - Unit Cell
DSC - Dispersion Suppress..
MC - Matching Cell

A 6-MBA has 5-MBA-UC
4 pure UC and
1 (2 x ½) broken UC → DSC

16 straights & sectors:

360° / 16 = 22.5° per sector
4*4.5° main UC bend &
2*2.25° DSC bend

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - metrology challenge

A deterministic lattice approach

- Stepwise: Power and Function of each Component &"Knob" → **LEGO approach**
- After first "wild" lattices we concluded on:
- **Limiting the hardware** (conservative ansatz)
  - Bore diameter of 25 mm
    Diameter inner/outer vac. pipe of 18/21 mm
  - Bends up to 1.4 T
  - Combined fct. Bend 0.8 T & 15 T/m or 30 T/m
  - Quads up to 60 - 80 T/m (depends on RB)
  - Sextupoles up to 4000 T/m^2
  - Spacing between magnets 100 mm
- **H**igher**O**rder**A**chromat Approach:
  - 6MBA + **homogenous metrology bend**
  - With Reverse Bends, so far no LGBs

A homogenous metrology bend

- Include it right from the beginning
  → Symmetric sector cell ansatz
- Two lattice candidates:
  - **cf**-lattice: combined function bend
    In center of 6MBA (community standard)
    sf - **cf - cf - cf - cf** - sf
    cf - **cf - cf - cf - cf** - cf
  - **sf**-lattice: separated (homogenous)
    Bend in the center of 6MBA (metrology):
    cf - **sf - sf - sf - sf** - cf
    sf - **sf - sf - sf - sf** - sf

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Linear Beam Dynamics

LEGO approach of building a lattice
Setting up and investigation the individual components

- **MBA-unit cell (UC)**, Dispersion suppression cell (DSC), Matching Cell (MC) Quadrupol-Triplett + straight
  - **MBA-UC:** Main bend; 2x focusing in x,y plane; 2x sextupoles for chromaticity correction
- Pure 6-MBA **HOA** - fixed phase advances between sextupoles, defines the MBA-UC !!
  - Integer tunes UC: (0.4, 0.1) * 5 = (2.0, 0.5), Section (2.75, 0.8125), Ring (44, 13)
  - 2 families of chromatic sextupoles **only**. SX & SY to fit chromaticity to zero
- **Findings, Results:**
  -

$$\xi = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta p/p} \sim \oint -k_1(s)\beta(s)ds$$

$$\xi_{tot} \sim \oint [k_2(s)\ D(s) - k_1(s)]\ \beta(s)\ ds$$

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Linear Beam Dynamics

LEGO approach - the “one and only” (deterministic) MBA-Unit Cell (UC) for

and for the hardware specifications of our project

- The two different MBA-UCs: **cf & sf**
- UC (4.5°): Q_xy = (0.4, 0.1), Chrom_xy = (0.0, 0.0)

Impact of reverse bend on alpha & emittance

Magnet arrangement



SX, RB, SY, B, …



SX, RB, QD, SY, B, …

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Linear Beam Dynamics

LEGO approach - Unit Cell - Magnet arrangement

- How to set up the MBA-UC ?
- Magnet positioning/arrangement in that way, to reduce the sextupole strength for the chromatic correction → as less as possible non-linear power

$$\xi_{tot} \sim \oint [k_2(s)\ D(s) - k_1(s)]\ \beta(s)\ ds$$

- **The cf MBA-UC:**



SetUp	Length	alpha	Emittance	RB angle	Nat Chrom	SUM(b3 * L)² for Chrom = 0 SF, SD [1/m²]
SX, RB, SY, B	2.446 m	2.5e-4	95 pm rad	-0.38 ° (k = 6.7) L = 0.163*2	-0.701, -0.355	**2324.77** 21.02, -26.84
RB, SX, SY, B	2.490 m	2.7e-4	95 pm rad	-0.26° (k = 6.8) L = 0.125 *2	-0.802, -0.278	**3905.21** 27.96, -34.22

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Linear Beam Dynamics

LEGO approach - Unit Cell - Magnet arrangement

- How to set up the MBA-UC ?
- Magnet positioning/arrangement in that way, to reduce the sextupole strength for the chromatic correction → as less as possible non-linear power

$$\xi_{tot} \sim \oint [k_2(s)\ D(s) - k_1(s)]\ \beta(s)\ ds$$

- **The sf MBA-UC:**



SetUp	Length	alpha	Emittance	RB angle	Nat Chrom	SUM(b3 * L)² for Chrom = 0 SF, SD [1/m²]
SX, RB, QD, SY, B	2.670 m	2.0e-4	100 pm rad	-0.23 ° (k = 8.6) L = 0.175*2	-0.751, -0.277	**901.43** 10.56, -18.42
SX, RB, SY, QD, B	2.610 m	2.1e-4	98 pm rad	-0.23° (k = 8.5) L = 0.14 * 2	-0.740, -0.295	**1500.19** 17.60, -20.98
RB, SX, QD, SY, B	2.700 m	2.0e-4	98 pm rad	-0.19° (k = 8.4) L = 0.13 * 2	-0.835, -0.232	**2781.58** 19.39, -31.86

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Linear Beam Dynamics

LEGO approach - the “one and only” (deterministic) MBA-Unit Cell (UC) for

- The two different MBA-UCs: **cf & sf**
- UC (4.5°): Q_xy = (0.4, 0.1), Chrom_xy = (0.0, 0.0)

and for the hardware specifications of our project

Impact of reverse bend on alpha & emittance

Magnet arrangement ✔



SX, RB, SY, B, …



SX, RB, QD, SY, B, …

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Linear Beam Dynamics

LEGO approach - Unit Cell - Impact of Reverse Bend

- The two different MBA-UCs: **cf & sf** and for the hardware specifications of our project
- UC (4.5°): Q_xy = (0.4, 0.1), Chrom_xy = (0.0, 0.0)

Impact of reverse bend on alpha & emittance ✓

Magnet arrangement ✓





$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{C_q \gamma^2}{j_X} \frac{I5}{I2}$$

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Linear Beam Dynamics

LEGO approach - Unit Cell - Impact of Reverse Bend

- The two different MBA-UCs: **cf & sf**
- UC (4.5°): Q_xy = (0.4, 0.1), Chrom_xy = (0.0, 0.0)

and for the hardware specifications of our project

Impact of reverse bend on alpha & emittance

Magnet arrangement



$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{C_q \gamma^2}{j_X} \frac{I5}{I2}$$



The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Non-Linear Beam Dynamics





With two sextupole families only: Sx, Sy





The flatter the curve the better
→ Robustness, Lifetime

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Non-Linear Beam Dynamics





Table 1: Comparison of the different cf and sf lattice variants for the most important non-linear parameters.

Type	Circ. in m	Angle in ° UC, DSC	Main bend length in m	ε_0 (UC, DSC) in $pm\,rad$	Natural chromaticity	Sext. strength $\sum(k_2 \cdot L)^2$	TSWM, dp in % for $dQ_{x,y} = 0.1$
cf							
cfcf	327 m	4.25, 2.75	1.0	95 (98, 78)	-86, -45	292e3	2.0, 3.9
cfsf	333 m	4.25, 2.75	1.0	99 (99, 97)	-82, -60	325e3	2.1, 2.8
sf							
sfcf	346 m	4.00, 3.25	1.0	98 (99, 95)	-94, -39	110e3	2.3, 3.9
sfsf	358 m	4.375, 2.5	1.1	99 (101, 81)	-79, -47	76e3	5.0, 3.4
sfsf4Q	366 m	4.375, 2.5	1.1	99 (101, 80)	-86, -35	69e3	3.8, 4.3

The flatter the curve the better
→ Robustness, Lifetime

The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Non-Linear Beam Dynamics

Non-linear optimization

- Defining target parameters for non-linear optimization and "knobs"
- **Target parameters:** (benchmark MAX IV, SLS2):
  - Tune Shift With Momentum **TSWM:**
    ΔQx, ΔQy ~ 0.1 at Δp = +-3% (+-5%)
  - Tune Shift with Amplitude **TSWA:**
    ΔQx, ΔQy ~ 0.1 limits acceptance ~3mm
- **Knobs:**
  - Chromatic Octupoles for 2nd order chromaticity
  - Split up of chromatic sextupoles (TSWM + TSWA)
- **Findings, Results:**
  - The two lattice candidates show an opposite behavior in order to reduce TSWM
    - SF3 with biggest impact at sf lattice
    - SF1 with biggest impact at cf lattice





The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Non-Linear Beam Dynamics

Non-linear optimization



The process towards a BESSY III lattice - Summary

Homogenous bend lattice

- With advantages:
  - Strongly reduced sextupole strength for chromaticity correction
  - Better momentum acceptance due to reduced higher order chromaticity contributions
- Next steps:
  - Non-linear optimisation scheme
  - Robustness & Tolerance analysis
  - Injection scheme & Collective effects
  - **Intensify discussions with construction & engineering department**



Talk by P. Schnizer on Thursday 14-16h
Building Digital Models with thor_scsi

Thank you for your attention !

Entering the CDR Phase with **New Positions:**

Magnet Development	– >	J. Völker
Beam Dynamics	– >	P. Goslawski
	– >	A. Jankowiak

See HZB homepage: **www.helmholtz-berlin.de**
(if available again after CyberAttack)