

FLS 2023 Lucerne, 27th August - 1st September 2023

Beam Dynamics Using Superconducting Passive Harmonic Cavities with High Current per Bunch

Alexis Gamelin on behalf of SOLEIL II Project Team

1. Introduction

- 1. SOLEIL II project
- 2. Passive superconducting harmonic cavities
- 2. Beam dynamics simulations with harmonic cavities considering short range wakes
	- 1. PTBL instability
	- 2. Dipole-Quadrupole instability
	- 3. Coupling between cavity mode and dipole mode
- 3. Expected performances (emittance & lifetime) in high current per bunch modes

SOLEIL II TDR lattice

In (most) 4th generation low emittance storage rings, harmonic cavities (HCs) are critical components needed to reach design performances.

They are mainly used to lengthen the bunches which provide:

- Reduced intra-beam scattering (IBS)
- Increased Touschek lifetime

Harmonic cavities used in synchrotron light sources are quasi-exclusively passive, i.e. powered by the beam, so they mostly have been used in filling modes with high average current.

But it is in the "timing" modes where the current per **Current SOLEIL operation modes:** bunch is high that both the IBS and Touschek effects are the strongest.

So, if we want to keep this type of operation modes in ultra-low emittance rings, the HCs should provide a large reduction of the IBS and Touschek effect.

Is it possible to have ultra-low emittance high current bunches ?

Passive harmonic cavity

 $\approx 2Q$

 Δf

 f_r

For a passive cavity at the m^{th} harmonic of the RF frequency f_{RF} , the only knob is the cavity tuning angle ψ or equivalently the cavity detuning Δf:

Cavity shunt impedance

 $\tan(\psi) = Q$

 f_r

−

 $m f_{RF}$

 f_r

 $m f_{RF}$

$$
\Delta f = f_r - m f_{RF}
$$

The voltage in such a passive cavity is given by: (neglecting form factors)

$$
V_2 = 2I_0 R_s cos(\psi) \approx I_0 \frac{R_s}{Q_0} \frac{m f_{RF}}{\Delta f} sin(\psi)
$$

Cavity quality factor

Total beam current

To get long bunches, by flattening the total RF voltage, the voltage in the harmonic cavity needs to be able to reach:

$$
V_2 = -\xi \frac{V_1 \sin(\phi_1)}{m \sin(\phi_2)} \approx \xi \frac{V_1}{m}
$$
 where
$$
\xi = -\frac{mV_2 \sin(\phi_2)}{V_1 \sin(\phi_1)} = \begin{cases} \cdot & \text{ s = 0 without HC} \\ \cdot & \text{ s = 1 at flat potential conditions} \end{cases}
$$

For a main RF voltage $V_1 = 1.8$ MV and a 4th harmonic cavity, to cover a range from 20 mA to 500 mA, it needs:

- $\triangleright \quad R_{S} \gg \frac{1.8MV}{2*4*20 \pi}$ $\frac{1.504V}{2*4*20 mA} \approx 11.25 M\Omega$ with a total $R_s/Q_0 < 100 \Omega$ for stability at high current (PTBL, ...).
- ≻ Only possible with Super Conducting (SC) systems, typically $Q_0 \approx 10^8$, while Normal Conducting typically have $Q_0 \approx 10^4$.

RF system (for this presentation):

- Main NC RF : ESRF EBS fundamental cavity
	- Rs (per cavity) = 5 $M\Omega$
	- $Q_0 = 35000$
	- $N_{cav} = 4$
	- $\beta = 5 (Q_L = 5 833)$
	- $-V_c = 1.8$ MV

 $-f_{RF} = 352 MHz$

Passive SC HC : Super3HC

- $m = 3$ or $m = 4$
- Rs (per cavity) = 4.5 $G\Omega$
- $-Q_0 = 10^8$
- $R/Q = 45 \Omega$
- $N_{cav} = 2$

 $V_{tot}(t) = V_1 \cos(\omega_{RF} t + \phi_1) + V_2 \cos(m\omega_{RF} t + \phi_2)$

Because it is SC, ψ is fixed to $\pi/2$ and ϕ_2 to $-\pi/2$, the conditions to lengthen the bunches are quite simple:

$$
\cos(\phi_1) \approx \frac{U_{loss}}{eV_1} \qquad V_2 \approx \xi \frac{V_1 \sin(\phi_1)}{m} \approx I_0 \frac{R}{Q} \frac{m f_{RF}}{\Delta f}
$$

Which gives the (near) flat potential conditions for $\xi = 1$:

$$
\frac{dV_{tot}}{dt}(0) = \xi \omega_{RF} \sin(\phi_1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \qquad \frac{d^2V_{tot}}{dt^2}(0) = -\frac{\omega_{RF}U_{loss}}{e}
$$

For a SC passive HC:

- The MC phase ϕ_1 is the same as the one used without HC.
- The second derivative of the RF voltage can never be cancelled and is fixed by the losses.
- The MC and HC are totally independent systems.

RF System

- 1. Introduction
	- 1. SOLEIL II project
	- 2. Passive superconducting harmonic cavities
- 2. Beam dynamics simulations with harmonic cavities considering short range wakes
	- 1. PTBL instability
	- 2. Dipole-Quadrupole instability
	- 3. Coupling between cavity mode and dipole mode
- 3. Expected performances (emittance & lifetime) in high current per bunch modes

Uniform filling at 500 mA

Here are the stable settings found for a 3rd HC and a 4th HC for the SOLEIL II using multi-bunch tracking taking into account the beam loading in the main and harmonic cavity (mbtrack2[1]):

At high current, 500 mA in uniform filling, the 3 rd HC allows to get a bit past the (near) flat potential conditions while the 4th HC is limited before $\xi = 1$.

The limitation, in both cases, is the $l = 1$ instability^[2] / PTBL^[3] but it is happening at different distance from $\xi = 1$.

The PTBL threshold increases with the bunch length. It then explains why the 3rd HC threshold is higher than the 4th HC as it

At a given turn during the instability

How bunch lengthening from additional impedances impacts these results ?

[1] Gamelin, A., Foosang, W., & Nagaoka, R. mbtrack2, a Collective Effect Library in Python. IPAC'21

[2] Venturini, M. (2018). Passive higher-harmonic rf cavities with general settings and multibunch instabilities in electron storage rings. *PRAB*, *21*(11), 114404.

[3] He, T., Li, W., Bai, Z., & Wang, L. (2022). Periodic transient beam loading effect with passive harmonic cavities in electron storage rings. *PRAB*, *25*(2), 024401.

Tracking vs single bunch current

Single bunch collective effects:

- Considering a preliminary TDR impedance model (RW + geom. impedance, +20 components including NEG, tapers, IDs, …).
- Treated as wake function in the tracking (so no broad band fit).

Tracking vs single bunch current

Go from a sharp peak at zero current to a spread out bump at higher current (MWI regime) at lower frequency (incoherent tune shift).

The synchrotron mode m=1 is constant because the (negative) incoherent tune shift is compensated by the dynamic coherent frequency shift for mode m=1 (Ng book p.68 & p.206)

The synchrotron mode m=2 (slightly shifted downward) is visible in the MWI regime.

8 bunch mode at 100 mA (with SB collective effects)

Mean center of mass

20000

40000

Number of turns

60000

80000

100000

- \triangleright A dipole-quadrupole instability is observed in a given tuning range when the single bunch collective effects are considered (MWI regime).
- \triangleright This instability is observed at lower ξ (and lower bunch length) for the 3HC.
- \triangleright It seems like a "weak" instability: excited beam but not beam loss

SYNCHROTROI

UPGRADE

8 bunch mode at 100 mA (with SB collective effects)

Interaction between the dipole synchrotron mode excited in the MWI regime and the Robinson quadrupole mode.

1 bunch mode at 20 mA (with SB collective effects)

Coupling between the cavity mode and dipole (Robinson) mode

3HC only (no impedance model)

The fast beam losses are driven by the mode coupling between the dipole (Robinson) mode originating from $f = f_{\rm so}$ and the cavity mode from $f = \Delta f$:

> "The cavity mode can be understood as follows. When the bunch passes through the cavity, it excites, in addition to the equilibrium voltage with frequency f_{RF} , a transient voltage of frequency […]. **When these two components of the voltage act back on the bunch, the revolution harmonics of** ${\bf th}$ e beam are modulated by ${\bf \Delta f} = {\bf f}_{\bf RF} - {\bf f}_{\bf r}$. The modulated **beam oscillation** of frequency $f_{RF} \pm \Delta f$ then feeds back on **the cavity leading to the cavity Robinson mode**."

Towne, N., & Wang, J. M. (1998). Spectrum of single bunch longitudinal dipole modes. *Physical Review E*, *57*(3), 3461.

The dipole (Robinson) and cavity modes are found numerically by solving the equation $[1,2]$:

$$
\Omega^2 = \omega_s^2 + j \frac{eI_{av}\alpha_c}{E_0 T_0}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} [p\omega_{rf} Z(p\omega_{rf}) - (p\omega_{rf} + \Omega) Z(p\omega_{rf} + \Omega)].
$$

[1] Yamaguchi, T., Sakanaka, S., Yamamoto, N., Naito, D., & Takahashi, T. (2023). Systematic study on the static Robinson instability in an electron storage ring. *Physical Review Accelerators and Beams*, *26*(4), 044401.

[2] He, T., Li, W., Bai, Z., & Li, W. (2023). Mode-zero Robinson instability in the presence of passive superconducting harmonic cavities. *Physical Review Accelerators and Beams*, *26*(6), 064403.

➢ **The addition of the impedance model strengthens the coupling between the modes, which lowers the instability threshold.**

UPGRADE

- \triangleright Without SB collective effects, the 4th HC allows to lengthen the bunch all the way to double bump bunch in a stable way.
- \triangleright For the 3rd harmonic, the bunch lengthening is very rapidly limited by the merging of these two modes.

ne [Hz]

 \subseteq

1. Introduction

- 1. SOLEIL II project
- 2. Passive superconducting harmonic cavities
- 2. Beam dynamics simulations with harmonic cavities considering short range wakes
	- 1. PTBL instability
	- 2. Dipole-Quadrupole instability
	- 3. Coupling between cavity mode and dipole mode
- 3. Expected performances (emittance & lifetime) in high current per bunch modes

Bunch length and Touschek lifetime without harmonic cavity (and IBS neglected):

➢ The energy spread increase due to the Micro-Wave Instability (MWI) has a big impact on lifetime (+ 60% at 20 mA compared to $\sigma_{\delta}=\sigma_{\delta_0})$

For a 4th SC HC (best performances at low current but not at 500 mA):

Operation mode	Harmonic cavity	Bunch length (RMS)	Current per bunch	Emittance H/V	Lifetime	Energy spread
Uniform	OFF	13 _{ps}	1.2 mA	103/30 pm.rad	5.1h	1.06E-3
Uniform	ON	46 _{ps}	1.2 mA	91/27 pm.rad	17.0 h	0.97E-3
8 bunches	OFF	27 _{ps}	12.5 mA	126/38 pm.rad	1.5h	1.86E-3
8 bunches	ON	77 ps	12.5 mA	112/34 pm.rad	3.6h	$1.21E-3$
1 bunch	OFF	33 _{ps}	20 mA	130/39 pm.rad	1.3 _h	$2.31E-3$
I bunch	ON	69 _{ps}	20 mA	122/36 pm.rad	2,5h	$1.55E-3$

For a 4th SC HC (best performances at low current but not at 500 mA):

➢ HC only provides marginal lifetime gain for high current per bunch modes because:

- 1. The bunches are already quite long due to the impedance ($R = 3.4$ at 12.5 mA and $R = 4$ at 20 mA).
- 2. HC bunch lengthening also reduces the energy spread and emittance blow-up.
- ➢ The vertical emittance is the only knob to increase further the lifetime but once past the round beam condition, the curve is mostly flat (due to the "frozen beam" Touschek effect).

Lessons learned using HCs in high current per bunch modes

- ➢ Adding an impedance model to the HC tracking can considerably change the results (in particular for the high current per bunch regime).
- ➢ The PTBL instability threshold is increased for higher harmonic and more generally for longer bunches.
- ➢ Operation with HCs above the MWI threshold can lead to a dipole-quadrupole instability
- ➢ The coupling between the cavity mode (detuning) and dipole mode can limit the HC performances at low total current.
- \triangleright The effectiveness of the HC bunch lengthening in reducing Touscheck/IBS effects is only the ratio R_{HC}/R_{noHC}

Other leads to achieve high current per bunch:

- ➢ Active NC HCs
	- Should be effective a low current
	- More complex than passive HCs
	- Beam stability is also an important issue
	- Very little experimental experience but developing fast (ESRF, ALBA/BESSY/DESY, …)
- ➢ Round beams
	- **Reduce Touscheck/IBS effects**
	- More complex lattice design & operation

After this study, some objectives are now changing for SOLEIL II project:

- ➢ Only two "major" operational modes:
	- Uniform filling at 500 mA $(1,2 \text{ mA/bunch})$
	- 32 bunches at 200 mA $(6,25 \text{ mA/bunch})$
	- Others (8 bunches and single bunch) kept as lower priority modes
- ➢ Now considering NC passive HC (ESRF-4 th HC design) as baseline solution:
	- Similar performances at high current
	- Much cheaper than the SC passive option

Thank you for your attention!

Also … a post-doc positions is open at SOLEIL on beam dynamics: <https://www.synchrotron-soleil.fr/en/job-offers/post-doctoral-position-beam-dynamics>

Contact: nagaoka@synchrotron-soleil.fr