# Production and Characterization of Hard X-rays Beyond 25 keV

**Pushing forward technical frontiers at the European XFEL** 

<u>Ye Chen</u>, Tianyun Long, Frank Brinker for the DESY & EuXFEL team FLS 2023, Lucerne, Switzerland, August 28<sup>th</sup> 2023





#### HELMHOLTZ

# Outline

### □ Introduction

### □ Simulations

### □ First experiments

□ Parallel R&D activities

### □ Summary

# Introduction

# **European XFEL**



W. Decking et al., Nature Photonics 14, 391–397 (2020)



#### Three SASE undulators

- □ Serving 7 experimental stations
- □ Two 175 m Hard X-Ray beamlines "SASE 1" & "SASE 2"
- One soft X-Ray beamline "SASE 3"

#### Superconducting linac

- **10 Hz** burst mode with **600 µs** RF-pulses
- Up to **27000** pulses per second
- Up to 17.5 GeV electron energy
- □ Flexible beam parameters & beam distribution

# **European XFEL surpassed the design photon energy** by a factor of two



### First light signal at 25 keV in 2020



# → Demonstrating unique capabilities of the facility in combining a high energy linac and long flexible undulators

no dedicated SASE tuning; pulse energy on the level of tens of microjoules

# **Facility layout**







#### Photon energy range

(vs. electron energies vs. undulator gaps)

- Routine operation covers up to 20 keV
  - $\Box$  undulator gap < 15 mm, K > 2.5
  - straightforward SASE setup with shorter gain lengths and higher intensities

# **Facility capability**



### In combining a high energy linac and long flexible undulators



- Electron beam energy 17.5 GeV
- □ Calculations with FAST until point of saturation using a bunch with moderate emittance and energy spread
- □ With post saturation taper significantly higher pulse energies can be reached

# FEL performance at two hard X-ray beamlines

European XFEL

User-Requested photon energies & intensities reached during the last years



# Scientific opportunities with very hard XFEL radiation



**Advanced user-experiments driving facility developments** 



### Workshop at the EuXFEL, January 2023:

Scientific Opportunities with very Hard XFEL Radiation

#### **Workshop Sessions**

Applied Materials and Industrial Applications

Structural Dynamics in Disordered Materials

Dynamics of Functional Materials

High Pressure, Planetary Science and Geology, Electron

Enabling Techniques and Instrumentation for New Scientific Avenues Dynamics, Warm Dense Matter, Relativistic Laser Plasma,

Strong Field Science

### Advantages of using very hard X-rays Users' Vision



**high Q-range coverage**: larger momentum transfer at moderate scattering angles (> 40 keV)

high penetration: larger penetration depth for bulk sensitivity

access to K-edge spectroscopy of high-Z materials: enabling tracking of

chemical dynamics for high-Z materials and for high-Z materials under extreme (hot, high pressure) conditions.

#### Experiments may benefit more from FEL characteristics compared to storage ring sources:

- □ large coherence: better contrast, phase measurements
- □ short pulses (~fs): single shot imaging, freezing of dynamic processes (dynamic laser compression, ultra cold liquids, ...)
- $\hfill\square$  variable pump-probe delay from few fs to ms
- □ higher brightness small bandwidth



## **Intermediate Summary**

Producing X-rays at 25+ keV in the fundamental is difficult (beyond routine operation at the EuXFEL)
 Delivering such X-rays with decent intensities to the users is challenging
 Scientific opportunities towards using harder X-rays calling for FEL facility developments
 Dedicated R&D activities thus strongly motivated

# **Simulations**

# Working point at 250 pC

Using parameters very-close-to those of the EuXFEL

# **Exploring FEL performance by beam physics simulations**

Electron bunch generation at cathode via photoemission modeling



### **Overall simulation layout**

#### 3D modeling of an electron bunch produced at photocathode



- more realistic 3D electron bunch generation at the cathode based on photoemission modeling
- using measured cathode quantum-efficiency
   (QE) map & measured transverse and temporal distributions of the drive laser pulse

PRAB 23, 044201 (2020)

# **Exploring FEL performance by beam physics simulations** Bunch quality delivered by the injector using ASTRA & KRACK<sup>3</sup>



#### **Overall simulation layout**

Measured transverse laser profile as used in the simulations  $\sim$ 0.3 µm overall emittance (central slice) at injector exit for a measured thermal emittance of about 0.99 µm/mm



# **Exploring FEL performance by beam physics simulations**

**Electron bunch tracking through the linac including collective effects in OCELOT** 



#### **Overall simulation layout**

Parameter	Value	Unit
Bunch charge	250	pC
Bunch shaping aperture	1.0	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$
Cathode laser pulse shape	Gauss	n/a
Cathode laser pulse length	3.0	$\mathbf{ps}$
Cathode accelerating gradient	56.7	MV/m
Beam energy at BC0	130	MeV
Beam energy at BC1	700	$\mathrm{MeV}$
Beam energy at BC2	2400	$\mathrm{MeV}$
Beam energy downstream L3	16300	$\mathrm{MeV}$
R56 at BC0	-50	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$
R56 at BC1	-50	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$
R56 at BC2	-30	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$
Undulator period <sup>4</sup>	4	$\mathrm{cm}$
Undulator length	175	m





3D space-charge, wake fields & CSR effects included in the simulation, as in PRAB 22, 024401 (2019)

# **Optimized SASE performance at 24 keV & 30 keV**

Genesis simulations for SASE1 at 24 keV & SASE2 at 30 keV



Linear & quadratic tapers optimized; No alignment error considered.

# Working point at 100 pC

**Emittance optimization via transverse laser pulse shaping at photocathode** 

Simulation Study: using an optimized transverse cathode drive laser pulse shape

Reducing space-charge contribution to overall emittance via linearizing transverse space-charge force at cathode
 Similar ideas verified, for example, in M. Groß et al. TUPTS012, IPAC'19 & F. Zhou et al. PRAB 15, 090701 (2012)
 Optimization by searching for an optimal intensity ratio between center & edge of a truncated gaussian distribution



→ an optimal ratio of about 0.65 found and used in further simulations
 → still to be implemented in the cathode laser system

Simulation Study: optimized bunch quality in the injector

0.4 10  $\varepsilon$ (slice) = ~0.16  $\mu$ m at 56 MV/m 56 MV/m 50 MV/m 40 MV/m current profile, 56 MV/n 0.35 current profile, 50 MV current profile, 40 MV emittance  $|\mu m|$ 0.3 current [A] 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.5 1.5 -2.5 -2 -1.5 -0.5 2 2.5 0 z [mm]

Accelerating gradients of 40, 50, 56 MV/m at cathode considered for 100 pC

#### → with optimized transverse cathode laser shapes significantly reduced emittances can be achieved

Simulation Study: tracking the bunch through the whole linac with collective effects on



Simulation Study: optimized SASE performance via further emittance optimization in the injector



# **Intermediate Summary: Simulations**

Nominal case at 250 pC suggests mJ-level lasing at 24 keV and half mJ-level lasing at 30 keV

Study case at 100 pC takes advantage of **emittance optimization via transverse laser pulse shaping**, boosting the lasing performance at 30 keV up to 1.7 mJ and 0.2 mJ at 45 keV

# Experiments

# Two experimental attempts so far in the past



**1st Attempt** (Oct.19<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> 2021)

**2nd Attempt** (Nov. 23<sup>rd</sup> – 26<sup>th</sup> 2022)

□ SASE1 planned at 24 keV & SASE2 at 30 keV

□ SASE2 planned at 30 keV



#### Choice of planned photon energies determined by transport capability of the photon beamlines

# **First Experimental Attempt (Oct. 19<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> 2021)** Dedicated for SASE1 at 24 keV & SASE2 at 30 keV



### Strategy of SASE tuning

- □ Starting from a statistically golden machine setup at 9 keV
  - $\checkmark$  beam based alignment<sup>1</sup> of quadrupoles in the undulators
  - ✓ emittance optimization in the injector<sup>2</sup>
  - ✓ dispersion correction<sup>3</sup>
- Increasing photon energy stepwise while keeping reasonable signals for tuning
- □ Online optimization of
  - ✓ **trajectory** with correctors (few  $\mu$ m level)
  - ✓ **phase** between undulators with phase shifters
  - ✓ **pointing** of individual undulators using the "K-Mono"
  - $\checkmark$  linear and quadratic **tapers** of the undulators

 1. M. Scholz et al. THP002, FEL'19
 2. S. Meykopff and B. Beutner, THPHA116, ICALEPCS'17
 3. N. G. Ghazaryan et al. JPCS 874 012084 2017

 DESY. Production and Characterization of Hard X-rays Beyond 25 keV | FLS 2023 | Dr. Ye Chen | Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY |
 Page 26

# **First Experimental Attempt (Oct. 19<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> 2021)** Pointing of undulators with K-Mono

SASE2 pointing measured with K-Mono Si333 on SR-imager



European XFEL

W. Freund et al. J. Synchrotron Rad. (2019). 26, 1037–1044

K-Mono: monochromator
 to analyze the pointing
 and wavelength of the
 spontaneous radiation
 from a single undulator



 K-Mono shows the pointing of individual undulators and gives an absolute energy calibration

Exemplary image from the K-Mono after SASE2 measured energy: 30.57 keV

Si(333)



# First Experimental Attempt (Oct. 19th – 21st 2021)

X-ray at 24 keV generated & briefly characterized at SASE1



Difficult to get last 7-9 cells contributing

→ K-Mono based adjustment in the pointing of individual undulators helped getting another 2-3 cells contributed

Measured SASE intensity at 24 keV ~800 μJ





# First Experimental Attempt (Oct. 19<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> 2021)

X-ray at 30 keV generated & briefly characterized at SASE2



European XFEL

K-Mono based undulator alignment plus residual air coil optimization helped boosting the intensity, finally to ~340 μJ at 30 keV after tuning



# First Experimental Attempt (Oct. 19<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> 2021) **Spectrum measurements**



### Hard x-ray single-shot spectrometer ХGМ: 717.46 µJ SPEC.INTEGRAL: 480.63 µJ @ 23991.9 eV x=24497.8, y=-19.2 1000 N. Kujala et al. 2020 RSI 91 (2020) average Detector rotation Crystal manipulato Granite Grouting r Patch pane Measured photon energy at SASE2 $\rightarrow$ 30.24 keV x=30894.2, y=47.1 XGM: 263.29 μJ SPEC.INTEGRAL: 247.93 μJ @ 30229.6 eV average

(keV)

Measured photon energy at SASE1  $\rightarrow$  24. 58 keV

A (au)

single

DESY. Production and Characterization of Hard X-rays Beyond 25 keV | FLS 2023 | Dr. Ye Chen | Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY

(keV



CAD model of

the SASE1

spectrometer

HIREX

Grating manipulator

ew port

# First Experimental Attempt (Oct. 19th – 21st 2021)



0.3 mJ X-rays at 30 keV generated & characterized

First experiments delivered hard X-rays of 0.8 mJ and 0.3 mJ at photon energies of 24 keV & 30 keV for beamlines SASE 1 and 2, respectively, using an electron energy of 16.3 GeV



# First Experimental Attempt (Oct. 19th – 21st 2021)

X-rays of 24 keV at SASE1 delivered to the user station

**Photon beamline transport capability** limiting the delivery of 30 keV X-rays to the experiment hutch at SASE2

**24 keV** hard X-rays at beamline SASE1 **successfully transported** to the user station for microscopy



Early foaming in Al in slow motion recorded at SPB EuXFEL, P. Vagovic

European



Courtesy of Meik Noak and Francisco Garcia-Moreno, TU Berlin

## Second Experimental Attempt (Nov. 23<sup>rd</sup> – 26<sup>th</sup> 2022) Dedicated photon beam transport study for SASE2 at 30 keV





**SASE tuning aiming to reach 30 keV** with some intensity for photon beam transport

Electron energy set at 16.5 GeV

**20-40 µJ at 30 keV left** by increasing the photon energy set-point stepwise, then giving the beamline to alignment studies

# Second Experimental Attempt (Nov. 23<sup>rd</sup> – 26<sup>th</sup> 2022)



Successful transmission of 30 keV at SASE2 to user stations

Great efforts of the EuXFEL optics group on the beamline alignment [M. Vannoni et.al.]

Experiments done with a transmission of

□ About 60% at 27 keV □ About 40% at 30 keV



# Newly available diagnostics during the 2nd experiment for longitudinal phase space (LPS) measurements



**Corrugated structure at the European XFEL** 

S. Tomin et al, MOPOPT020, IPAC'22



One-sided corrugated wakefield structure installed after SASE2 beamline for LPS diagnostics Planned LPS studies for next 30 keV run in 2023



# **Intermediate Summary: Experiments**

### **Experiments so far have demonstrated:**

0.8 mJ at 24 keV (SASE1) & 0.3 mJ at 30 keV (SASE2) with 16.3 GeV beam energy
 SASE2 operated at 30 keV: flat intensity pulse train of 100 bunches at 2.25 MHz

□ first testing user experiments at 24 keV at SASE1

□ successful **30 keV photon beam** at SASE2 **transported** to experiment hutches

# Parallel R&D activities

towards even higher photon energies

# The super-conducting after burner

**Expected for end of 2024 at the European XFEL** 

S. Casalbuoni et al, Front. Phys. 11:1204073 (2023)







S-PRESSO, the prototype of the super conducting undulators has been ordered and is expected for end of 2024.

Main parameter	PMU	SCU
Period	40 mm	18 mm
Peak field	1.12 T	1.82 T
K max.	3.93	3.06
Vacuum chamber	8 x 40 mm	5 x 10 mm
Magnetic length	5 m	4 m

### Talk TH1D1

Application of Superconducting Undulator Technology for Hard X-ray Production at European XFEL

Johann Baader

# Scenarios to work with the afterburner using the fundamental & 2<sup>nd</sup> harmonic



Simulations with 24 m SCUs after the SASE2 undulators

C. Lechner et al 2022 JPCS 2380 012009

h=1 h=2 10<sup>11</sup> Photons per pulse 150 µJ 10<sup>10</sup> ' 10<sup>9</sup> 30 40 50 60 70 Photon energy [keV]

Setup for lasing at wavelength $\lambda$	Effect	Gain
All undulators set to $\lambda$	Additional undulators with larger K	Higher intensity
PMUs set to 2 $\lambda$ SCUs set to $\lambda$	Nonlinear harmonic generation – 2 <sup>nd</sup> harmonic	Extended energy range

Electron beam parameters for the simulation			
Energy	16.5 GeV		
Norm. emittance	0.4 mm mrad		
Initial Energy spread	3 MeV		
Peak current	5 kA		
Bunch charge	150 pC		
bunch length	30 fs		

# Lasing on the 3rd harmonic

**Demonstrated at SASE3 & being studied for SASE2** 





**Harmonic lasing** on the odd harmonics of the fundamental wavelength develops independently of the fundamental

The **fundamental lasing has to be disrupted** to keep the energy spread low and let the harmonic saturate

To preserve the beam quality for the SCUs the development of the first harmonic radiation has to be suppressed by two methods:

- Insertion of filters for the fundamental wavelength
- Setting the phase shifters between the undulators to  $2\pi/3$ ,  $4\pi/3$  to get destructive interference for the fundamental

**This scheme has been demonstrated at the soft X-Ray beamline SASE3** at 4.5 keV (2.75 Å) using the 3<sup>rd</sup> harmonic of 1.5 keV and 5<sup>th</sup> harmonic of 0.9 keV in 2019/2020 using the phase shifters to suppress the fundamental and last 6 cells set to the fundamental.

E. A. Schneidmiller and M. V. Yurkov, PRAB 15, 080702 (2012)

E. Schneidmiller PRAB 24, 030701 (2021)

# **Simulation Study**

3<sup>rd</sup> harmonic lasing at 50 keV



Recent simulations show the evolution of the photon pulse energy in SASE2 at the **fundamental wavelength (blue)** and at the **third harmonic (red)**, respectively, and in the **SCUs (green)** tuned to the third harmonic of SASE2.



## **Summary**



Scientific opportunities with very hard X-rays calling for FEL facility developments

A progressive step made at the EuXFEL towards user experiments at very high photon energies

- $\rightarrow$  systematical simulation studies carried out
- $\rightarrow$  dedicated experimental photon beamline studies performed
- $\rightarrow$  0.3 mJ @ 30.24 keV experimentally demonstrated & transported
- $\rightarrow$  0.8 mJ @ 24.58 keV delivered for first user experiments

**Promising advanced schemes** towards harder X-rays (50+ keV)

- $\rightarrow$  super-conducting afterburner, fundamental & 2<sup>nd</sup> harmonic
- $\rightarrow$  harmonic lasing, 3<sup>rd</sup> & 5<sup>th</sup> harmonics

Next high photon energy study planned for Oct. 2023

### Acknowledgements



Thanks to the joint workforce of DESY and EuXFEL with FEL R&D (Marc Guetg & Gianluca Geloni) and Accelerator Coordination (Winfried Decking & Matthias Scholz)

Thanks to my colleagues, and in particular:

E. Schneidmiller, M. Yurkov, M. Dohlus, I. Zagorodnov, W. Decking,
P. Dijkstal, M. Scholz, B. Beutner, S. Liu, W.L. Qin, N. Mirian, S. Tomin,
from DESY &

M. Vannoni, S. Casalbuoni, W. Freund, T. Maltezopoulos, N. Kujala, J. Gruenert, A. Koch, H. Sinn, C. Lecher, P. Vagovic, J.W. Yan, A. Mancuso, U. Boesenberg, R. Bean from the European XFEL

